

Hello, my name is _____ from GSG, a national research firm. We're conducting a survey in Nebraska to get people's opinions on important issues. This number was selected at random and according to the research procedure, I would like to speak to the [ALTERNATE: YOUNGEST/OLDEST] [ALTERNATE: MAN/WOMAN] at this address who is registered to vote.

Q8. Are you officially registered to vote at this address in Nebraska? [IF YES]
 Regardless of how you might feel about the parties, at the present time are you officially registered as a Democrat, as a Republican, or in some other way?

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| YES: Democrat | 35% |
| YES: Republican | 50 |
| YES: Independent | 9 |
| YES: Other | 6 |
| No/(Don't know) -----> | TERMINATE |

Q1. Regardless of how you are officially registered, do you generally think of yourself as a Democrat, an Independent or a Republican?

| | |
|------------------------------|-----|
| Strong Democrat | 17% |
| Weak Democrat | 9 |
| Independent Democrat | 11 |
| Independent | 3 |
| Independent Republican | 15 |
| Weak Republican | 12 |
| Strong Republican | 27 |
| (Other/Don't know) | 3 |

ASK IF DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN

Would you call yourself a strong [DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN] or a not very strong [DEMOCRAT/REPUBLICAN]?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| TOTAL DEMOCRAT | 26% |
| TOTAL INDEPENDENT | 32 |
| TOTAL REPUBLICAN | 39 |

ASK IF INDEPENDENT

Do you think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----|
| TOTAL DEMOCRATS W/LEANERS..... | 37% |
| TOTAL REPUBLICANS W/LEANERS | 54 |

Q2. Different issues matter more to some people than they do to others, and we want to know what issues matter most to you. After I read each of the following, use a scale of one to ten to rate how important that issue is to you. One means that issue is not very important to you, and 10 means that issue is very important to you personally. Remember, you can use 1, 10, or any number in between. [READ ITEM]
 How important is that issue to you?

| ROTATE | Mean | Very important <-----> Not very important | | | | | VOL: (DK) | TOTAL | | |
|---|------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | 9-10 | 7-8 | 5-6 | 3-4 | 1-2 | | Important (7-10) | Mixed (5-6) | Not (1-4) |
| • The cost of energy..... | 7.71 | 34% | 41 | 22 | 2 | 1 | - | 75% | 22 | 3 |
| • America's dependence on oil from the Middle East and countries like Venezuela | 7.70 | 39% | 34 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 73% | 19 | 7 |
| • The cost of electricity..... | 7.22 | 30% | 33 | 29 | 5 | 3 | * | 63% | 29 | 8 |
| • Issues affecting the environment | 6.81 | 27% | 31 | 25 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 58% | 25 | 16 |

Q3. When it comes to the issue of balancing jobs and economic development with concerns about protecting the environment, which of the following is closest to your view on this issue? Is it more important to: [READ ITEM, ROTATE]

- Make creating jobs and economic development the priority,..... 67%
 - OR
 - Make protecting the environment the priority? 23
- | | |
|-------------------------|---|
| VOL: (Both) | 6 |
| VOL: (Neither) | 1 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 2 |

Q4. Thinking about the cost of energy, including your home energy costs, would you say that your energy costs are [ROTATE] going up, going down, or are they staying about the same?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Going up | 65% |
| Staying the same | 32 |
| Going down | 3 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 1 |

Q5. And looking forward, do you think your energy costs will increase or decrease? [IF RESPONSE] Do you think your energy costs will significantly or only somewhat [INCREASE/DECREASE]?

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| INCREASE: Significantly | 35% |
| INCREASE: Somewhat | 54 |
| DECREASE: Somewhat | 4 |
| DECREASE: Significantly | 1 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 7 |
| TOTAL INCREASE | 89% |
| TOTAL DECREASE | 5 |

Q6. For each of the following energy sources, tell me if your feelings about it are strongly favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or strongly unfavorable. Here's the first one. [READ ITEM] Is your opinion of that energy source favorable or unfavorable? [IF CHOICE] And would you say it's strongly or only somewhat [FAVORABLE/UNFAVORABLE]?

| ROTATE | FAVORABLE | | | UNFAVORABLE | | | TOTAL | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------------|----------|------|-----------|-------------|
| | Strongly | Somewhat | (Mixed) | Somewhat | Strongly | (DK) | Favorable | Unfavorable |
| • Wind power..... | 69% | 25 | * | 3 | 3 | 1 | 94% | 6 |
| • Solar power..... | 64% | 28 | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 92% | 6 |
| • Water or hydroelectric power..... | 54% | 38 | * | 3 | 2 | 4 | 92% | 5 |
| • Natural gas..... | 40% | 48 | * | 8 | 2 | 3 | 87% | 10 |
| • Hybrid electric..... | 34% | 44 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 78% | 10 |
| • Bio-fuels..... | 31% | 45 | * | 10 | 2 | 10 | 77% | 13 |
| • Nuclear power..... | 31% | 38 | * | 14 | 12 | 4 | 69% | 26 |
| • Oil..... | 25% | 41 | * | 21 | 11 | 2 | 65% | 32 |
| • Coal..... | 23% | 37 | * | 24 | 12 | 3 | 61% | 37 |

Q7. So far as you know, what is the cleanest and most efficient way to generate electric power? [DO NOT READ OPTIONS]

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Wind/windmills | 43% |
| Nuclear..... | 16 |
| Water/hydroelectric | 14 |
| Solar..... | 10 |
| Natural gas..... | 3 |
| Coal..... | 2 |
| VOL: (Other)..... | 1 |
| VOL: (No/None/Nothing)..... | 1 |
| VOL: (Don't know)..... | 12 |

Q8. And, so far as you know, what is the least expensive way to generate electric power? [DO NOT READ OPTIONS]

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Wind/windmills | 26% |
| Coal..... | 20 |
| Water/hydroelectric | 11 |
| Solar..... | 9 |
| Nuclear..... | 9 |
| Natural gas..... | 4 |
| VOL: (Other)..... | 1 |
| VOL: (No/None/Nothing)..... | 1 |
| VOL: (Don't know)..... | 19 |

Q9. Some people have said that Nebraska should try to meet its electricity needs by using renewable energy such as wind power. Other people disagree. What about you? Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree that Nebraska should increase its use of wind power to generate electricity?

| | |
|------------------------|-----|
| Strongly agree | 66% |
| Somewhat agree..... | 25 |
| Somewhat disagree..... | 5 |
| Strongly disagree..... | 3 |
| VOL: (Don't know)..... | 1 |
| TOTAL AGREE..... | 91% |
| TOTAL DISAGREE..... | 8 |

Q10. As you may know, Congress is considering a proposal to require large electric utilities to generate or purchase at least 20% of their electricity from renewable energy resources such as wind and solar power by the year 2025. Do you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this proposal?

| | |
|------------------------|-----|
| Strongly favor..... | 49% |
| Somewhat favor..... | 30 |
| Somewhat oppose..... | 8 |
| Strongly oppose..... | 11 |
| VOL: (Don't know)..... | 3 |
| TOTAL FAVOR..... | 79% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE..... | 18 |

Q11. Here are some facts about a requirement to require large utilities to generate or purchase at least 20% of their electricity from renewable energy resources by the year 2025. For each statement, tell me if that makes you much more likely, somewhat more likely, somewhat less likely, or much less likely to support the proposal to generate at least 20% of our electricity from renewable energy resources such as wind and solar power. [READ ITEM - PROMPT AS NEEDED] Does that make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, somewhat less likely, or much less likely to support the proposal to generate at least 20% of our electricity from renewable energy resources such as wind and solar power?

| ROTATE | MORE LIKELY | | LESS LIKELY | | | TOTAL | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|------|-------|------|
| | Strongly | Somewhat | Somewhat | Strongly | (DK) | More | Less |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The U.S. Department of Energy estimates that generating 20% of the nation's electricity from wind would make Nebraska a large exporter of wind generated electricity to utilities in other states and would bring money into Nebraska and create thousands of jobs throughout the state | 53% | 34 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 87% | 10 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Experts on the issue say that Nebraska would have to build new power transmission lines and other infrastructure in order to generate large amounts of wind energy, which would cost millions of dollars to be passed on to Nebraskans on their monthly energy bills | 15% | 31 | 30 | 21 | 3 | 46% | 51 |

Q12. Sometimes during a survey like this people change their minds, and sometimes they do not. Thinking again, do you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the proposal to require large electric utilities to generate or purchase at least 20% of their electricity from renewable energy resources such as wind and solar power by the year 2025?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Strongly favor | 43% |
| Somewhat favor | 31 |
| Somewhat oppose | 10 |
| Strongly oppose | 13 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 4 |
| TOTAL FAVOR | 73% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 23 |

Q13. If you knew that this proposal would mean a temporary \$2 increase in your monthly energy bill, would you strongly favor, somewhat favor, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose the proposal to require large electric utilities to generate or purchase at least 20% of their electricity from renewable energy resources such as wind and solar power by the year 2025?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Strongly favor | 45% |
| Somewhat favor | 26 |
| Somewhat oppose | 12 |
| Strongly oppose | 14 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 2 |
| TOTAL FAVOR | 72% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 26 |

Now let's shift the discussion and focus on wind energy.

Q14. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose building more power transmission lines in Nebraska as part of an effort to create jobs, use more wind power in the state and to sell wind power to other states?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Strongly support | 48% |
| Somewhat support | 35 |
| Somewhat oppose | 9 |
| Strongly oppose | 6 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 3 |
| TOTAL SUPPORT | 83% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 14 |

Q15. How much more would you be willing to pay on your monthly electric bill in order to build infrastructure so Nebraska can increase its production of wind energy? Would you be willing to pay no more than you currently pay, up to \$1 more, up to \$2 more, between \$2 and \$4 more each month, between \$5 and \$10 a month, or more than that?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| No more | 21% |
| Up to \$1 | 11 |
| Up to \$2 | 20 |
| Between \$2-4 | 19 |
| Between \$5-\$10 | 21 |
| \$10+ | 6 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 1 |

Q16. As you may know, Nebraska electric utilities are publicly owned, and are run by public employees. State law is designed to support public power and makes it very difficult if not impossible for private companies to develop new energy projects, including wind power, in the state, even when those projects might benefit taxpayers. Do you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose changing Nebraska law to allow privately owned companies to build wind farms in the state?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Strongly support | 32% |
| Somewhat support | 32 |
| Somewhat oppose | 11 |
| Strongly oppose | 19 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 6 |
| TOTAL SUPPORT | 64% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 30 |

Q17. What if the privately owned wind farms produce electricity mostly to be sold to other states, and nearly all the electricity you use would continue to come from state-owned Nebraska utilities and not from those wind farms. Under that circumstance, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose changing Nebraska law to allow privately owned companies to build wind farms in Nebraska?

| | |
|-------------------------|-----|
| Strongly support | 17% |
| Somewhat support | 36 |
| Somewhat oppose | 20 |
| Strongly oppose | 22 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 5 |
| TOTAL SUPPORT | 53% |
| TOTAL OPPOSE | 42 |

Q18. Let's look at this another way. It will cost money to develop new wind power projects in Nebraska, and the state will need more power lines to sell wind power to other states. [ROTATE]:

- Some/others say the publicly owned utilities should pay to develop wind power in the state, and to build new power lines. Nebraskans would pay for the expansion, would receive profits from the project, and ownership of Nebraska power lines would remain public 61%

ON THE OTHER HAND

- Some/others say we should change Nebraska law to allow private companies to develop wind power in the state and to build new power lines. Private companies would bear the cost of the expansion, would receive some profits from projects, and would gain ownership of Nebraska power lines 29

What about you? Do you think power lines in Nebraska should remain publically owned and funded, or should the law be changed to allow private companies to own and pay to expand power lines in the state? VOL: (Both/Mixed) *
VOL: (Depends/Don't know) 10

Q19. If the law was changed to allow private companies to develop wind farms to generate electricity for export, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose taxing exported electricity to fund long term economic development and support the communities where the wind farms are based? Strongly support..... 27%
Somewhat support..... 43
Somewhat oppose..... 11
Strongly oppose..... 15
VOL: (Don't know)..... 5
TOTAL SUPPORT..... 69%
TOTAL OPPOSE..... 26

Q20. Many states, including Iowa and Colorado, exempt wind turbines and other equipment from state sales taxes to encourage manufacturing and wind power in their states. Nebraska does not have this exemption, and charges sales tax on wind power equipment. [ROTATE]:

- Some/other people say Nebraska should establish a sales tax exemption for wind equipment in Nebraska to compete with Iowa, Colorado, and other states and encourage wind power and manufacturing in Nebraska..... 49%

ON THE OTHER HAND

- Some/other people Nebraska should continue to charge a sales tax for wind equipment in the state, because the tax is a source of revenue for the state..... 42

What about you? Do you think the state should establish a sales tax exemption for wind equipment, or should Nebraska continue to charge a sales tax for wind equipment? VOL: (Both/Mixed) *
VOL: (Depends/Don't know) 8

Q21. And, if the law was changed to allow private companies to develop wind farms, would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose restricting the development of wind projects in Nebraska to those companies that enable their Nebraska employees and landowners to share significantly in project ownership? Strongly support..... 26%
Somewhat support..... 47
Somewhat oppose..... 8
Strongly oppose..... 9
VOL: (Don't know)..... 10
TOTAL SUPPORT..... 73%
TOTAL OPPOSE..... 17

Now I would like to ask you a few final questions for statistical purposes only.
D100. Sex.

Male..... 49%
Female..... 51

D101. What is your age?

18-24..... 3%
25-29..... 5
30-34..... 6
35-39..... 9
40-44..... 10
45-49..... 16
50-54..... 10
55-59..... 11
60-64..... 7
65+..... 23
VOL: (Refused)..... -

D160. Would you say your personal economic situation is very dependent on the condition of the farm economy, somewhat dependent on the farm economy, or not really related at all?

Very dependent 19%
Somewhat dependent..... 31
Not related 48
VOL: (Don't know/Refused) 2

D102. What is the last grade you completed in school?

Some grade school (1-8) 1%
Some high school (9-11)..... 1
Graduated high school..... 28
Technical/Vocational..... 4
Some college..... 21
Graduated college 25
Graduate/Professional 18
VOL: (Don't know/Refused) 2

D111. Do you or any member of your household own a gun?

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Respondent | 36% |
| Household member | 16 |
| No one | 43 |
| VOL: (Don't know/Refused) | 5 |
| TOTAL GUN OWNERS | 52% |

D105. When it comes to politics, do you generally think of yourself as liberal, somewhat liberal, moderate, somewhat conservative or conservative?

| | |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Liberal | 14% |
| Somewhat liberal | 10 |
| Moderate | 17 |
| Somewhat conservative | 19 |
| Conservative | 36 |
| VOL: (Don't know) | 5 |
| TOTAL LIBERAL | 24% |
| TOTAL CONSERVATIVE | 55 |

Thank you for taking the time to complete this interview.

Wind Power

| | Q6G. Popularity of wind power | | | | | | Q9. Increase use of wind in Nebraska | | | | | Q14. More power lines to use wind power | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|---|------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| | Total | Total Fav | Strongly favorable | Total Unfav | Mix/ DK | Net Fav | Agree | Strongly agree | Disagree | Don't know | Net agree | Support | Strongly support | Oppose | Don't know | Net support |
| TOTAL | 500 | 94% | 69% | 6 | 1 | 88 | 91% | 66% | 8 | 1 | 83 | 83% | 48% | 14 | 3 | 69 |
| REGION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Omaha | 176 | 92% | 62% | 7 | 1 | 85 | 90% | 63% | 10 | 0 | 79 | 79% | 44% | 15 | 5 | 64 |
| Southeast | 119 | 92% | 67% | 6 | 2 | 86 | 92% | 69% | 7 | 1 | 85 | 84% | 52% | 16 | 1 | 68 |
| Northeast | 63 | 93% | 76% | 6 | 2 | 87 | 90% | 72% | 9 | 2 | 81 | 83% | 51% | 14 | 3 | 70 |
| West | 142 | 97% | 77% | 3 | 0 | 94 | 92% | 65% | 6 | 2 | 86 | 86% | 48% | 12 | 2 | 74 |
| PARTY REGISTRATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democrat | 173 | 96% | 77% | 2 | 2 | 94 | 94% | 77% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 90% | 63% | 7 | 3 | 83 |
| Independent/Other | 75 | 93% | 76% | 7 | 0 | 87 | 93% | 69% | 6 | 1 | 87 | 77% | 40% | 18 | 6 | 59 |
| Republican | 252 | 92% | 62% | 8 | 0 | 84 | 88% | 58% | 11 | 1 | 77 | 80% | 40% | 18 | 2 | 61 |
| SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 247 | 92% | 64% | 7 | 1 | 85 | 87% | 61% | 11 | 1 | 76 | 78% | 48% | 19 | 3 | 60 |
| Women | 253 | 95% | 74% | 4 | 0 | 91 | 94% | 71% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 87% | 48% | 10 | 3 | 77 |
| AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-39 | 113 | 92% | 70% | 6 | 2 | 86 | 88% | 67% | 12 | 0 | 76 | 83% | 51% | 15 | 3 | 68 |
| 40-59 | 234 | 93% | 68% | 7 | 1 | 86 | 90% | 63% | 9 | 1 | 81 | 84% | 49% | 14 | 2 | 70 |
| 60+ | 153 | 96% | 69% | 4 | 0 | 93 | 95% | 71% | 4 | 1 | 91 | 81% | 44% | 14 | 5 | 67 |
| SEX & AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men 18-39 | 58 | 92% | 66% | 4 | 3 | 88 | 86% | 63% | 14 | 0 | 71 | 80% | 51% | 20 | 0 | 60 |
| Men 40-59 | 119 | 90% | 62% | 10 | 1 | 80 | 84% | 55% | 15 | 2 | 69 | 78% | 47% | 20 | 2 | 58 |
| Men 60+ | 70 | 96% | 67% | 4 | 0 | 92 | 95% | 71% | 4 | 1 | 91 | 79% | 49% | 15 | 7 | 64 |
| Women 18-39 | 55 | 92% | 75% | 8 | 0 | 85 | 90% | 71% | 10 | 0 | 80 | 86% | 52% | 9 | 6 | 77 |
| Women 40-59 | 115 | 96% | 75% | 3 | 1 | 92 | 96% | 71% | 3 | 1 | 92 | 90% | 51% | 8 | 1 | 82 |
| Women 60+ | 83 | 96% | 72% | 4 | 0 | 93 | 95% | 70% | 5 | 0 | 90 | 83% | 40% | 14 | 3 | 69 |
| EDUCATION & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High school men | 89 | 91% | 71% | 6 | 3 | 85 | 89% | 71% | 8 | 2 | 81 | 76% | 48% | 18 | 5 | 58 |
| High school women | 88 | 91% | 72% | 8 | 1 | 82 | 96% | 72% | 2 | 2 | 94 | 87% | 45% | 8 | 5 | 79 |
| College men | 158 | 93% | 60% | 7 | 0 | 86 | 86% | 56% | 13 | 1 | 73 | 80% | 49% | 19 | 2 | 61 |
| College women | 165 | 98% | 75% | 2 | 0 | 96 | 93% | 70% | 7 | 0 | 87 | 87% | 49% | 11 | 2 | 76 |
| PARTY & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democratic men | 79 | 95% | 71% | 1 | 4 | 94 | 92% | 78% | 6 | 1 | 86 | 91% | 66% | 8 | 1 | 83 |
| Democratic women | 94 | 97% | 82% | 3 | 0 | 94 | 96% | 77% | 4 | 0 | 92 | 89% | 61% | 6 | 5 | 83 |
| Republican men | 127 | 90% | 55% | 10 | 0 | 80 | 84% | 50% | 15 | 1 | 68 | 74% | 40% | 23 | 3 | 51 |
| Republican women | 126 | 94% | 68% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 92% | 65% | 7 | 1 | 85 | 86% | 39% | 13 | 1 | 72 |
| ENERGY COSTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Going up | 324 | 94% | 69% | 5 | 0 | 89 | 91% | 67% | 8 | 1 | 83 | 80% | 46% | 17 | 3 | 63 |
| Staying same/Going down | 171 | 92% | 69% | 6 | 2 | 86 | 91% | 66% | 8 | 1 | 83 | 88% | 52% | 9 | 3 | 79 |
| Future increases | 443 | 94% | 70% | 5 | 1 | 90 | 92% | 67% | 8 | 1 | 84 | 84% | 49% | 14 | 2 | 70 |
| Future not increase | 57 | 87% | 60% | 11 | 2 | 76 | 83% | 60% | 14 | 4 | 69 | 76% | 43% | 17 | 7 | 58 |
| WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Favorable opinion | 468 | 100% | 74% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 94% | 69% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 86% | 50% | 12 | 2 | 74 |
| Not favorable opinion | 32 | 0% | 0% | 87 | 13 | -87 | 41% | 22% | 52 | 6 | -11 | 39% | 13% | 50 | 10 | -11 |
| Use wind power | 454 | 97% | 75% | 2 | 1 | 95 | 100% | 73% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 89% | 53% | 8 | 3 | 81 |
| Don't use wind power | 41 | 60% | 9% | 40 | 0 | 20 | 0% | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 16% | 2% | 84 | 0 | -68 |
| Favor 20% renewable | 395 | 97% | 77% | 2 | 1 | 95 | 97% | 76% | 3 | 1 | 94 | 92% | 57% | 7 | 1 | 85 |
| Oppose 20% renewable | 90 | 78% | 36% | 22 | 0 | 57 | 64% | 22% | 35 | 1 | 30 | 42% | 12% | 50 | 7 | -8 |
| Post favor 20% renewable | 366 | 98% | 78% | 1 | 1 | 97 | 97% | 78% | 2 | 1 | 96 | 94% | 60% | 5 | 1 | 89 |
| Post oppose 20% renewable | 113 | 79% | 39% | 20 | 1 | 59 | 68% | 23% | 31 | 2 | 37 | 46% | 8% | 45 | 9 | 1 |
| POWER LINES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support new | 414 | 97% | 79% | 2 | 1 | 95 | 98% | 76% | 2 | 1 | 96 | 100% | 58% | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Oppose new | 71 | 78% | 20% | 22 | 0 | 55 | 50% | 18% | 49 | 1 | 1 | 0% | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 |
| PRIVATIZATION OF WIND POWER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support | 321 | 96% | 77% | 4 | 1 | 92 | 97% | 74% | 3 | 0 | 94 | 90% | 55% | 7 | 3 | 83 |
| Oppose | 148 | 90% | 50% | 10 | 0 | 81 | 77% | 49% | 22 | 1 | 56 | 67% | 33% | 31 | 2 | 35 |
| Support for export | 266 | 95% | 77% | 5 | 1 | 90 | 95% | 72% | 5 | 1 | 90 | 90% | 54% | 8 | 2 | 82 |
| Oppose for export | 210 | 92% | 60% | 7 | 1 | 84 | 85% | 59% | 14 | 1 | 71 | 75% | 41% | 22 | 3 | 52 |
| INFORMED: Support | 143 | 90% | 64% | 9 | 1 | 80 | 92% | 63% | 8 | 1 | 84 | 84% | 48% | 14 | 2 | 70 |
| INFORMED: Oppose | 304 | 96% | 71% | 4 | 0 | 92 | 91% | 66% | 9 | 1 | 82 | 84% | 48% | 14 | 2 | 70 |
| TAXING WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support taxing exported energy | 347 | 95% | 73% | 4 | 1 | 91 | 94% | 72% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 90% | 55% | 9 | 2 | 81 |
| Oppose taxing exported energy | 129 | 90% | 56% | 10 | 0 | 79 | 81% | 51% | 18 | 1 | 63 | 66% | 29% | 30 | 4 | 36 |
| Favor sales tax exemption | 246 | 96% | 77% | 4 | 0 | 92 | 96% | 75% | 3 | 0 | 93 | 92% | 59% | 6 | 2 | 85 |
| Oppose sales tax exemption | 209 | 91% | 61% | 8 | 1 | 83 | 86% | 58% | 13 | 0 | 73 | 74% | 37% | 23 | 3 | 52 |
| OWNERSHIP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support shared projects | 364 | 94% | 71% | 5 | 1 | 89 | 94% | 68% | 6 | 0 | 89 | 88% | 53% | 9 | 3 | 79 |
| Oppose shared projects | 87 | 91% | 59% | 9 | 0 | 81 | 76% | 53% | 22 | 2 | 54 | 64% | 25% | 36 | 0 | 27 |

Congress should require 20% of energy from renewable sources

| | Q10. Current | | | | | Q12. Post-statements | | | | Q13. Opinion if higher bills | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|------------|-----------|------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------|
| | Total | Favor | Oppose | Don't know | Net favor | Favor | Oppose | Don't know | Net favor | Favor | Oppose | Don't know | Net favor |
| TOTAL | 500 | 79% | 18 | 3 | 61 | 73% | 23 | 4 | 51 | 72% | 26 | 2 | 46 |
| REGION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Omaha | 176 | 74% | 23 | 3 | 51 | 69% | 28 | 3 | 40 | 68% | 30 | 2 | 38 |
| Southeast | 119 | 82% | 15 | 2 | 67 | 81% | 16 | 3 | 65 | 79% | 21 | 1 | 58 |
| Northeast | 63 | 83% | 13 | 4 | 69 | 65% | 28 | 6 | 37 | 67% | 26 | 7 | 41 |
| West | 142 | 80% | 17 | 3 | 64 | 76% | 19 | 5 | 57 | 73% | 26 | 2 | 47 |
| PARTY REGISTRATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democrat | 173 | 87% | 9 | 3 | 78 | 82% | 14 | 4 | 68 | 82% | 17 | 1 | 66 |
| Independent/Other | 75 | 80% | 19 | 1 | 60 | 73% | 24 | 3 | 49 | 74% | 26 | 0 | 49 |
| Republican | 252 | 73% | 24 | 3 | 49 | 68% | 28 | 4 | 40 | 64% | 33 | 4 | 31 |
| SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 247 | 75% | 22 | 3 | 53 | 72% | 23 | 4 | 49 | 68% | 30 | 2 | 38 |
| Women | 253 | 83% | 14 | 3 | 69 | 74% | 22 | 4 | 52 | 75% | 22 | 3 | 54 |
| AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-39 | 113 | 81% | 18 | 2 | 63 | 76% | 19 | 4 | 57 | 75% | 24 | 1 | 51 |
| 40-59 | 234 | 77% | 21 | 1 | 56 | 73% | 25 | 2 | 48 | 71% | 27 | 3 | 44 |
| 60+ | 153 | 80% | 13 | 7 | 67 | 72% | 21 | 7 | 50 | 71% | 26 | 3 | 45 |
| SEX & AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men 18-39 | 58 | 76% | 21 | 3 | 56 | 75% | 21 | 4 | 53 | 67% | 33 | 0 | 35 |
| Men 40-59 | 119 | 71% | 28 | 1 | 43 | 72% | 28 | 0 | 44 | 67% | 31 | 2 | 35 |
| Men 60+ | 70 | 80% | 14 | 6 | 66 | 71% | 17 | 12 | 53 | 71% | 27 | 2 | 44 |
| Women 18-39 | 55 | 85% | 15 | 0 | 70 | 78% | 18 | 4 | 61 | 83% | 16 | 2 | 67 |
| Women 40-59 | 115 | 84% | 15 | 1 | 69 | 74% | 22 | 4 | 52 | 75% | 22 | 3 | 53 |
| Women 60+ | 83 | 80% | 13 | 7 | 67 | 73% | 25 | 3 | 48 | 71% | 26 | 3 | 45 |
| EDUCATION & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High school men | 89 | 77% | 18 | 5 | 60 | 72% | 21 | 7 | 51 | 69% | 30 | 2 | 39 |
| High school women | 88 | 84% | 12 | 4 | 72 | 71% | 24 | 5 | 47 | 71% | 24 | 4 | 47 |
| College men | 158 | 73% | 25 | 2 | 49 | 72% | 25 | 3 | 48 | 68% | 31 | 1 | 37 |
| College women | 165 | 82% | 15 | 2 | 67 | 76% | 21 | 3 | 55 | 78% | 20 | 2 | 57 |
| PARTY & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democratic men | 79 | 85% | 11 | 4 | 74 | 80% | 12 | 8 | 69 | 81% | 18 | 1 | 63 |
| Democratic women | 94 | 89% | 8 | 3 | 80 | 83% | 16 | 2 | 67 | 83% | 16 | 1 | 68 |
| Republican men | 127 | 68% | 28 | 4 | 39 | 67% | 30 | 3 | 38 | 59% | 39 | 2 | 20 |
| Republican women | 126 | 78% | 19 | 3 | 59 | 68% | 27 | 5 | 42 | 69% | 26 | 5 | 42 |
| ENERGY COSTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Going up | 324 | 77% | 18 | 5 | 59 | 71% | 24 | 5 | 48 | 67% | 30 | 3 | 37 |
| Staying same/Going down | 171 | 83% | 17 | 0 | 65 | 77% | 21 | 2 | 57 | 81% | 18 | 1 | 63 |
| Future increases | 443 | 80% | 17 | 3 | 63 | 74% | 21 | 4 | 53 | 73% | 25 | 2 | 47 |
| Future not increase | 57 | 71% | 26 | 2 | 45 | 67% | 32 | 1 | 35 | 65% | 33 | 1 | 32 |
| WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Favorable opinion | 468 | 82% | 15 | 3 | 67 | 77% | 19 | 4 | 58 | 75% | 23 | 2 | 52 |
| Not favorable opinion | 32 | 38% | 62 | 0 | -23 | 22% | 74 | 3 | -52 | 22% | 74 | 3 | -52 |
| Use wind power | 454 | 84% | 13 | 3 | 71 | 79% | 17 | 4 | 62 | 77% | 21 | 2 | 56 |
| Don't use wind power | 41 | 24% | 76 | 0 | -52 | 16% | 84 | 0 | -67 | 17% | 81 | 2 | -64 |
| Favor 20% renewable | 395 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 89% | 7 | 4 | 82 | 85% | 13 | 2 | 72 |
| Oppose 20% renewable | 90 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 12% | 85 | 3 | -73 | 16% | 81 | 3 | -65 |
| Post favor 20% renewable | 366 | 96% | 3 | 1 | 93 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 89% | 10 | 1 | 79 |
| Post oppose 20% renewable | 113 | 25% | 68 | 7 | -43 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 18% | 80 | 2 | -62 |
| POWER LINES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support new | 414 | 88% | 9 | 3 | 78 | 83% | 13 | 4 | 71 | 82% | 16 | 2 | 65 |
| Oppose new | 71 | 36% | 64 | 0 | -28 | 27% | 71 | 2 | -45 | 26% | 72 | 2 | -47 |
| PRIVATIZATION OF WIND POWER | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support | 321 | 83% | 14 | 2 | 69 | 79% | 17 | 4 | 61 | 75% | 23 | 2 | 52 |
| Oppose | 148 | 66% | 29 | 4 | 37 | 60% | 37 | 3 | 23 | 63% | 36 | 1 | 27 |
| Support for export | 266 | 84% | 15 | 2 | 69 | 79% | 18 | 3 | 62 | 77% | 20 | 3 | 57 |
| Oppose for export | 210 | 74% | 21 | 5 | 52 | 67% | 28 | 4 | 39 | 65% | 35 | 0 | 29 |
| INFORMED: Support | 143 | 75% | 23 | 2 | 52 | 71% | 26 | 3 | 45 | 67% | 32 | 1 | 35 |
| INFORMED: Oppose | 304 | 79% | 16 | 4 | 63 | 75% | 22 | 4 | 53 | 76% | 23 | 2 | 53 |
| TAXING WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support taxing exported energy | 347 | 87% | 12 | 1 | 76 | 83% | 15 | 3 | 68 | 82% | 15 | 3 | 67 |
| Oppose taxing exported energy | 129 | 56% | 36 | 8 | 19 | 49% | 45 | 6 | 5 | 47% | 53 | 0 | -5 |
| Favor sales tax exemption | 246 | 87% | 11 | 2 | 76 | 81% | 15 | 4 | 66 | 81% | 17 | 2 | 64 |
| Oppose sales tax exemption | 209 | 70% | 27 | 3 | 43 | 65% | 31 | 4 | 35 | 63% | 36 | 1 | 27 |
| OWNERSHIP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support shared projects | 364 | 83% | 15 | 2 | 68 | 79% | 18 | 3 | 60 | 77% | 22 | 1 | 55 |
| Oppose shared projects | 87 | 62% | 33 | 5 | 29 | 56% | 43 | 1 | 13 | 54% | 45 | 1 | 9 |

Allow private companies to build wind farms

| | Q16. Allow private wind farms | | | | | Q17. Allow only if export energy | | | | Q18. Pay for wind projects | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| | Total | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Net support | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Net support | Private companies | Public utilities | Both/Mix /Dep/DK | Net public |
| TOTAL | 500 | 64% | 30 | 6 | 35 | 53% | 42 | 5 | 11 | 29% | 61 | 11 | 32 |
| REGION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Omaha | 176 | 60% | 33 | 7 | 26 | 48% | 46 | 6 | 2 | 30% | 58 | 12 | 28 |
| Southeast | 119 | 62% | 34 | 4 | 28 | 51% | 46 | 3 | 6 | 29% | 61 | 10 | 32 |
| Northeast | 63 | 70% | 21 | 9 | 49 | 54% | 38 | 8 | 16 | 32% | 57 | 10 | 25 |
| West | 142 | 69% | 26 | 5 | 43 | 61% | 35 | 4 | 25 | 25% | 66 | 10 | 41 |
| PARTY REGISTRATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democrat | 173 | 66% | 30 | 5 | 36 | 56% | 42 | 2 | 15 | 25% | 66 | 9 | 42 |
| Independent/Other | 75 | 64% | 31 | 5 | 33 | 56% | 37 | 7 | 19 | 25% | 61 | 14 | 36 |
| Republican | 252 | 63% | 29 | 7 | 34 | 50% | 44 | 6 | 7 | 32% | 57 | 11 | 25 |
| SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 247 | 66% | 30 | 4 | 35 | 56% | 40 | 5 | 16 | 30% | 62 | 8 | 31 |
| Women | 253 | 63% | 29 | 8 | 34 | 51% | 44 | 5 | 7 | 27% | 60 | 13 | 33 |
| AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-39 | 113 | 67% | 31 | 3 | 36 | 51% | 43 | 6 | 8 | 31% | 64 | 6 | 33 |
| 40-59 | 234 | 68% | 26 | 6 | 42 | 57% | 41 | 2 | 17 | 31% | 60 | 9 | 28 |
| 60+ | 153 | 57% | 35 | 8 | 21 | 49% | 43 | 8 | 5 | 23% | 61 | 17 | 38 |
| SEX & AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men 18-39 | 58 | 66% | 33 | 1 | 33 | 50% | 45 | 5 | 6 | 29% | 69 | 2 | 40 |
| Men 40-59 | 119 | 67% | 30 | 3 | 36 | 59% | 40 | 1 | 20 | 34% | 59 | 7 | 25 |
| Men 60+ | 70 | 63% | 28 | 8 | 35 | 54% | 35 | 11 | 19 | 25% | 60 | 14 | 35 |
| Women 18-39 | 55 | 68% | 28 | 4 | 39 | 52% | 41 | 7 | 11 | 32% | 58 | 9 | 26 |
| Women 40-59 | 115 | 69% | 21 | 10 | 49 | 55% | 41 | 4 | 13 | 29% | 60 | 11 | 31 |
| Women 60+ | 83 | 51% | 41 | 8 | 10 | 44% | 50 | 6 | -6 | 20% | 61 | 19 | 41 |
| EDUCATION & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High school men | 89 | 73% | 22 | 5 | 51 | 56% | 37 | 7 | 19 | 31% | 56 | 13 | 25 |
| High school women | 88 | 58% | 31 | 11 | 28 | 49% | 48 | 3 | 1 | 27% | 58 | 15 | 31 |
| College men | 158 | 61% | 35 | 3 | 26 | 56% | 41 | 3 | 15 | 30% | 65 | 5 | 35 |
| College women | 165 | 65% | 28 | 7 | 37 | 51% | 42 | 7 | 9 | 27% | 61 | 12 | 34 |
| PARTY & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democratic men | 79 | 66% | 31 | 3 | 36 | 56% | 44 | 0 | 11 | 22% | 71 | 7 | 49 |
| Democratic women | 94 | 65% | 29 | 6 | 36 | 57% | 39 | 4 | 17 | 27% | 62 | 11 | 36 |
| Republican men | 127 | 63% | 31 | 6 | 32 | 54% | 40 | 6 | 15 | 35% | 58 | 7 | 24 |
| Republican women | 126 | 63% | 28 | 9 | 36 | 47% | 48 | 6 | -1 | 30% | 56 | 15 | 26 |
| ENERGY COSTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Going up | 324 | 64% | 31 | 6 | 33 | 51% | 44 | 5 | 8 | 28% | 59 | 13 | 31 |
| Staying same/Going down | 171 | 65% | 28 | 6 | 37 | 55% | 40 | 5 | 16 | 29% | 64 | 7 | 35 |
| Future increases | 443 | 64% | 29 | 6 | 35 | 52% | 43 | 5 | 9 | 30% | 60 | 10 | 30 |
| Future not increase | 57 | 66% | 31 | 3 | 34 | 63% | 32 | 6 | 31 | 19% | 68 | 13 | 49 |
| WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Favorable opinion | 468 | 66% | 29 | 6 | 37 | 54% | 41 | 5 | 13 | 27% | 62 | 11 | 35 |
| Not favorable opinion | 32 | 45% | 45 | 10 | 0 | 45% | 55 | 0 | -9 | 47% | 42 | 10 | -5 |
| Use wind power | 454 | 69% | 25 | 6 | 43 | 55% | 39 | 5 | 16 | 29% | 61 | 10 | 32 |
| Don't use wind power | 41 | 22% | 78 | 0 | -57 | 31% | 69 | 0 | -39 | 26% | 63 | 11 | 36 |
| Favor 20% renewable | 395 | 68% | 25 | 7 | 43 | 56% | 39 | 5 | 17 | 27% | 61 | 12 | 34 |
| Oppose 20% renewable | 90 | 51% | 48 | 1 | 2 | 43% | 50 | 7 | -6 | 36% | 55 | 8 | 19 |
| Post favor 20% renewable | 366 | 69% | 24 | 7 | 45 | 57% | 39 | 4 | 19 | 28% | 62 | 10 | 34 |
| Post oppose 20% renewable | 113 | 49% | 48 | 2 | 1 | 41% | 52 | 7 | -11 | 33% | 59 | 9 | 26 |
| POWER LINES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support new | 414 | 70% | 24 | 6 | 46 | 58% | 38 | 4 | 20 | 29% | 62 | 9 | 33 |
| Oppose new | 71 | 33% | 65 | 2 | -32 | 30% | 65 | 4 | -35 | 28% | 60 | 12 | 32 |
| PRIVATIZATION OF WIND POWER | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support | 321 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 69% | 28 | 3 | 41 | 38% | 50 | 12 | 13 |
| Oppose | 148 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 20% | 77 | 3 | -56 | 11% | 83 | 5 | 72 |
| Support for export | 266 | 83% | 11 | 6 | 72 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 39% | 49 | 12 | 11 |
| Oppose for export | 210 | 43% | 54 | 3 | -11 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 18% | 75 | 7 | 57 |
| INFORMED: Support | 143 | 85% | 12 | 3 | 73 | 72% | 26 | 2 | 45 | 100% | 0 | 0 | -100 |
| INFORMED: Oppose | 304 | 53% | 41 | 6 | 13 | 43% | 52 | 5 | -9 | 0% | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| TAXING WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support taxing exported energy | 347 | 72% | 23 | 5 | 49 | 61% | 35 | 5 | 26 | 29% | 60 | 11 | 31 |
| Oppose taxing exported energy | 129 | 45% | 51 | 4 | -6 | 30% | 65 | 5 | -34 | 29% | 64 | 7 | 35 |
| Favor sales tax exemption | 246 | 72% | 23 | 5 | 50 | 59% | 37 | 4 | 23 | 34% | 56 | 11 | 22 |
| Oppose sales tax exemption | 209 | 58% | 38 | 3 | 20 | 48% | 50 | 2 | -2 | 27% | 66 | 7 | 39 |
| OWNERSHIP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support shared projects | 364 | 74% | 21 | 5 | 53 | 58% | 37 | 5 | 21 | 33% | 57 | 10 | 25 |
| Oppose shared projects | 87 | 30% | 63 | 7 | -33 | 35% | 63 | 2 | -29 | 24% | 72 | 5 | 48 |

Taxing wind energy

| | Q19. Electricity for development | | | | | Q20. Sales tax exemption | | | | Q21. Restrict to shared ownership | | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| | Total | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Net support | Exempt | Keep charging | Both/Mix /Dep/DK | Net exempt | Support | Oppose | Don't know | Net support |
| TOTAL | 500 | 69% | 26 | 5 | 44 | 49% | 42 | 9 | 7 | 73% | 17 | 10 | 55 |
| REGION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Omaha | 176 | 65% | 29 | 6 | 35 | 55% | 36 | 9 | 19 | 74% | 13 | 12 | 61 |
| Southeast | 119 | 67% | 29 | 4 | 39 | 46% | 46 | 8 | 0 | 71% | 23 | 6 | 49 |
| Northeast | 63 | 72% | 22 | 7 | 50 | 58% | 30 | 13 | 28 | 79% | 15 | 5 | 64 |
| West | 142 | 76% | 20 | 3 | 56 | 40% | 51 | 8 | -11 | 69% | 19 | 12 | 51 |
| PARTY REGISTRATION | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democrat | 173 | 74% | 22 | 4 | 52 | 53% | 38 | 9 | 15 | 76% | 16 | 8 | 60 |
| Independent/Other | 75 | 73% | 21 | 6 | 51 | 51% | 45 | 4 | 5 | 72% | 18 | 10 | 54 |
| Republican | 252 | 66% | 30 | 5 | 36 | 46% | 44 | 11 | 2 | 71% | 18 | 11 | 53 |
| SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men | 247 | 69% | 28 | 3 | 41 | 46% | 48 | 5 | -2 | 74% | 18 | 7 | 56 |
| Women | 253 | 70% | 24 | 6 | 46 | 52% | 36 | 13 | 16 | 71% | 16 | 13 | 55 |
| AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-39 | 113 | 81% | 16 | 3 | 65 | 53% | 43 | 4 | 10 | 85% | 12 | 3 | 74 |
| 40-59 | 234 | 71% | 24 | 5 | 47 | 51% | 40 | 8 | 11 | 72% | 16 | 11 | 56 |
| 60+ | 153 | 59% | 36 | 5 | 22 | 44% | 43 | 13 | 0 | 64% | 23 | 13 | 41 |
| SEX & AGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Men 18-39 | 58 | 77% | 21 | 1 | 56 | 40% | 57 | 3 | -17 | 81% | 16 | 3 | 65 |
| Men 40-59 | 119 | 69% | 28 | 3 | 41 | 50% | 45 | 5 | 5 | 72% | 22 | 6 | 51 |
| Men 60+ | 70 | 62% | 33 | 5 | 28 | 45% | 47 | 8 | -1 | 73% | 15 | 12 | 57 |
| Women 18-39 | 55 | 86% | 10 | 4 | 75 | 66% | 28 | 6 | 38 | 90% | 7 | 3 | 82 |
| Women 40-59 | 115 | 72% | 19 | 8 | 53 | 53% | 35 | 12 | 17 | 73% | 11 | 17 | 62 |
| Women 60+ | 83 | 56% | 38 | 6 | 17 | 42% | 41 | 17 | 1 | 57% | 30 | 14 | 27 |
| EDUCATION & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High school men | 89 | 62% | 34 | 4 | 28 | 40% | 56 | 4 | -16 | 72% | 21 | 7 | 51 |
| High school women | 88 | 60% | 30 | 10 | 30 | 53% | 36 | 12 | 17 | 65% | 19 | 16 | 46 |
| College men | 158 | 73% | 24 | 3 | 48 | 50% | 44 | 6 | 6 | 76% | 17 | 7 | 59 |
| College women | 165 | 75% | 20 | 4 | 55 | 52% | 35 | 13 | 16 | 74% | 15 | 11 | 60 |
| PARTY & SEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democratic men | 79 | 77% | 22 | 1 | 55 | 52% | 45 | 4 | 7 | 73% | 21 | 6 | 51 |
| Democratic women | 94 | 71% | 22 | 7 | 49 | 55% | 33 | 13 | 22 | 79% | 12 | 9 | 66 |
| Republican men | 127 | 61% | 35 | 4 | 26 | 43% | 49 | 7 | -6 | 75% | 16 | 9 | 60 |
| Republican women | 126 | 70% | 24 | 6 | 45 | 48% | 38 | 14 | 10 | 66% | 20 | 14 | 46 |
| ENERGY COSTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Going up | 324 | 67% | 28 | 5 | 38 | 48% | 42 | 11 | 6 | 71% | 18 | 11 | 54 |
| Staying same/Going down | 171 | 75% | 21 | 5 | 54 | 52% | 42 | 6 | 10 | 76% | 16 | 8 | 60 |
| Future increases | 443 | 70% | 26 | 5 | 44 | 48% | 43 | 9 | 5 | 73% | 17 | 10 | 57 |
| Future not increase | 57 | 69% | 27 | 5 | 42 | 57% | 33 | 10 | 24 | 67% | 22 | 11 | 46 |
| WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Favorable opinion | 468 | 70% | 25 | 5 | 46 | 50% | 41 | 9 | 10 | 73% | 17 | 10 | 56 |
| Not favorable opinion | 32 | 56% | 42 | 2 | 15 | 33% | 59 | 8 | -27 | 69% | 25 | 6 | 44 |
| Use wind power | 454 | 72% | 23 | 5 | 49 | 52% | 40 | 8 | 13 | 75% | 14 | 10 | 61 |
| Don't use wind power | 41 | 43% | 56 | 1 | -13 | 18% | 68 | 14 | -50 | 49% | 46 | 5 | 3 |
| Favor 20% renewable | 395 | 77% | 18 | 5 | 59 | 54% | 37 | 9 | 17 | 76% | 14 | 10 | 63 |
| Oppose 20% renewable | 90 | 45% | 52 | 3 | -7 | 29% | 62 | 9 | -33 | 60% | 32 | 8 | 28 |
| Post favor 20% renewable | 366 | 78% | 17 | 4 | 61 | 54% | 37 | 8 | 17 | 78% | 13 | 9 | 65 |
| Post oppose 20% renewable | 113 | 45% | 51 | 4 | -6 | 33% | 57 | 10 | -24 | 59% | 33 | 8 | 26 |
| POWER LINES | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support new | 414 | 75% | 21 | 4 | 55 | 54% | 38 | 8 | 17 | 77% | 13 | 9 | 64 |
| Oppose new | 71 | 42% | 54 | 4 | -11 | 22% | 67 | 11 | -45 | 46% | 44 | 10 | 2 |
| PRIVATIZATION OF WIND POWER | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support | 321 | 78% | 18 | 4 | 60 | 55% | 38 | 7 | 17 | 84% | 8 | 8 | 76 |
| Oppose | 148 | 53% | 44 | 2 | 9 | 37% | 54 | 9 | -16 | 52% | 37 | 11 | 15 |
| Support for export | 266 | 79% | 15 | 6 | 65 | 55% | 38 | 7 | 17 | 79% | 11 | 9 | 68 |
| Oppose for export | 210 | 57% | 40 | 3 | 18 | 43% | 50 | 8 | -7 | 65% | 26 | 9 | 39 |
| INFORMED: Support | 143 | 71% | 26 | 3 | 45 | 58% | 39 | 3 | 18 | 83% | 14 | 2 | 69 |
| INFORMED: Oppose | 304 | 69% | 27 | 4 | 42 | 45% | 45 | 9 | 0 | 69% | 20 | 11 | 48 |
| TAXING WIND ENERGY | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support taxing exported energy | 347 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 53% | 38 | 8 | 15 | 82% | 10 | 8 | 72 |
| Oppose taxing exported energy | 129 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 39% | 55 | 6 | -16 | 52% | 39 | 10 | 13 |
| Favor sales tax exemption | 246 | 76% | 20 | 4 | 55 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 80% | 12 | 8 | 68 |
| Oppose sales tax exemption | 209 | 64% | 34 | 2 | 30 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 | 69% | 22 | 8 | 47 |
| OWNERSHIP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support shared projects | 364 | 78% | 18 | 3 | 60 | 54% | 40 | 6 | 14 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Oppose shared projects | 87 | 40% | 57 | 3 | -18 | 33% | 54 | 13 | -21 | 0% | 100 | 0 | -100 |